UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,896	09/14/2006	Harri Heine	297-012643-US (PAR)	1801
2512 PERMAN & G	7590 01/27/200 REEN	EXAMINER		
425 POST ROA	AD	WANG-HURST, KATHY W		
FAIRFIELD, C	1 00824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,896	HEINE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

K	ATHY WANG-HURST	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth i r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a consideration.	deration and/or search (see NOT ; form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but d See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P<sup>-</sup></li> </ul>		condition for allowand	e pecause:
13. Other:			
/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments and amendments in your last response changed the scope of the claims and therefore required new references. The argued features wherein a program is configured to compose a multimedia message and produce a size limit message while the multimedia message is being composed, and size limit is defined by the network, read upon Sudoh in view of Chander.